arXiv:1601.02294v1 [math.CV] 11 Jan 2016

COEFFICIENT ESTIMATE OF BI-BAZILEVIČ FUNCTION OF COMPLEX ORDER BASED ON QUASI SUBORDINATION INVOLVING SRIVASTAVA-ATTIYA OPERATOR

G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY

School of Advanced Sciences, VIT University,
Vellore 632014, Tamilnadu, India
E-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce and investigate a new subclass of the function class Σ of bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk, which are associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, satisfying subordinate conditions. Furthermore, we find estimates on the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in this new subclass. Several (known or new) consequences of the results are also pointed out.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45.

Key Words and Phrases. Analytic functions; Univalent functions; Bi-univalent functions; Bi-starlike and bi-convex functions; Bi-Bazilevič functions; Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function; Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator; Libera-Bernardi integral operator.

1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\triangle = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad |z| < 1\}.$$

Further, by $\mathcal{S}$ we shall denote the class of all functions in $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\triangle$. Some of the important and well-investigated subclasses of the univalent function class $\mathcal{S}$ include (for example) the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ of starlike functions of order α in $\triangle$ and the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ of convex functions of order α in $\triangle$.

The convolution or Hadamard product of two functions $f, h \in \mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $f * h$ and is defined as

$$(f * h)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \tag{1.2}$$

where $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) and $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$.

We recall a general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ defined by (see [24])

$$\Phi(z, s, a) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(k+a)^s} \quad (a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}; s \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s) > 1 \text{ and } |z| < 1). \tag{1.3}$$

Several interesting properties and characteristics of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ can be found in the recent investigations by Choi and Srivastava [5], Ferreira and Lopez [7], Garg et al [10], Lin et al [15] and others.

For the class $\mathcal{A}$, Srivastava and Attiya [23] (see also Raducanu and Srivastava [21] and Prajapat and Goyal [20]) introduced and investigated linear operator:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

defined interms of the Hadamard product (or convolution) by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z) = (\mathcal{G}_{\mu,b} * f)(z) \quad (z \in \triangle;\ b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\};\ \mu \in \mathbb{C};\ f \in \mathcal{A}), \tag{1.4}$$

where, for convenience.

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu}^{b}(z) = (1+b)^{\mu}[\Phi(z, \mu, b) - b^{-\mu}]. \tag{1.5}$$

It is easy to observe from (given earlier by [20], [21]) (1.1), (1.4) and (1.5) that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Theta_k a_k z^k, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\Theta_k = \left| \left(\frac{1+b}{k+b} \right)^{\mu} \right| \tag{1.7}$$

and (throughout this paper unless otherwise mentioned) the parameters μ, b are considered as $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$.

We note that

- For $\mu = 1$ and $b = \nu (\nu > -1)$ generalized Libera-Bernardi integral operator [22]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{1}^{\nu} f(z) &= \frac{1+\nu}{z^{\nu}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\nu-1} f(t) dt \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\nu+1}{k+\nu} \right) a_k z^k = \mathcal{L}_{\nu} f(z). \end{aligned}$$

- For $\mu = \sigma\ (\sigma > 0)$ and $b = 1$, Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator [13]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\sigma}^{1} f(z) &= \frac{2^{\sigma}}{z\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_{0}^{z} \left(\log \left(\frac{z}{t} \right) \right)^{\sigma-1} f(t) dt \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{k+1} \right)^{\sigma} a_k z^k = \mathcal{I}_{\sigma} f(z) \end{aligned}$$

closely related to some multiplier transformations studied by Flett [8].

An analytic function $f(z)$ is quasi-subordinate to an analytic function $h(z)$, in the open unit disk if there exist analytic functions ϕ and w, with $w(0) = 0$ such that $|\phi(z)| < 1,\ |w(z)| < 1$ and $f(z) = \phi(z) h[w(z)]$. Then we write $f(z) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} h(z)$. If $\phi(z) = 1$, then the quasi-subordination reduces to the subordination. Also,if $w(z) = z$ then $f(z) = \phi(z) h(z)$ and in this case we say that $f(z)$ is majorized by $h(z)$ and it is written as $f(z) << h(z)$ in $\triangle$. Hence it is obvious that quasi-subordination is the generalization of subordination as well as majorization.It is unfortunate that the concept quasi-subordination is so for an underlying concept in the area of complex function theory al though it deserves much attention as it unifies the concept of both subordination and majorization.

Through out this paper it is assumed that ϕ is analytic in $\triangle$ with $\phi(0) = 1$ and let

$$\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \cdots, \quad (B_1 > 0). \tag{1.8}$$

also let

$$\psi(z) = C_0 + C_1 z + C_2 z^2 + C_3 z^3 + \cdots, (|\psi(z) < 1|)\ z \in \triangle \tag{1.9}$$

2. Bi-Univalent function Class Σ

It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1}, defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \qquad (z \in \triangle)$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \qquad \left(|w| < r_0(f);\ r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right),$$

where

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \cdots. \tag{2.1}$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\triangle$ if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in $\triangle$. Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in $\triangle$ given by (1.1).

Recently there has been triggering interest to study bi-univalent function class Σ and obtained non-sharp coefficient estimates on the first two coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ of (1.1). But the coefficient problem for each of the following Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients:

$$|a_n| \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, 3\};\ \ \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$$

is still an open problem(see[2, 3, 4, 14, 18, 26]). Many researchers (see[9, 11, 16, 17, 25]) have recently introduced and investigated several interesting subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ and they have found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$.

Several authors have discussed various subfamilies of Bazilevič functions of type λ from various perspective. They discussed it from the perspective of convexity, inclusion theorem, radii of starlikeness and convexity boundary rotational problem, subordination just to mention few. The most amazing thing is that, it is difficult to see any of this authors discussing the coefficient inequalities, and coefficient bounds of these subfamilies of Bazilevič function most especially when the parameter λ is greater than 1 ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$). Motivated by the earlier work of Deniz[6] in the present paper we introduce new families of Bazilevič functions of complex order [12] of the function class Σ, involving Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, and find estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the new subclasses of function class Σ. Several related classes are also considered, and connection to earlier known results are made.

Definition 2.1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma, \lambda, \phi)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z^{1-\lambda}(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z))'}{[\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z)]^{1-\lambda}} - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(z) - 1) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w^{1-\lambda}(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} g(w))'}{[\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} g(w)]^{1-\lambda}} - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(w) - 1) \tag{2.3}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \lambda \geq 0; z, w \in \triangle$ and the function g is given by(2.1).

On specializing the parameters λ one can define the various new subclasses of Σ associated with Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function as illustrated in the following examples.

Example 1. For $\lambda = 0$ and a function $f \in \Sigma$, given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma, \phi)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}f(z))'}{\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}f(z)} - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(z) - 1) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}g(w))'}{\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}g(w)} - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(w) - 1) \tag{2.5}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; z, w \in \triangle$ and the function g is given by(2.1).

Example 2. For $\lambda = 1$ and a function $f \in \Sigma$, given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma, \phi)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}f(z))' - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(z) - 1) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}g(w))' - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(w) - 1) \tag{2.7}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; z, w \in \triangle$ and the function g is given by(2.1).

It is of interest to note that for $\gamma = 1$ the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma, \lambda, \phi)$ reduces to the following new subclass $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\lambda, \phi)$.

Definition 2.2. A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\lambda, \phi)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\left(\frac{z^{1-\lambda}(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}f(z))'}{[\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}f(z)]^{1-\lambda}} - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(z) - 1) \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{w^{1-\lambda}(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}g(w))'}{[\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}g(w)]^{1-\lambda}} - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(w) - 1) \tag{2.9}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0; z, w \in \triangle$ and the function g is given by(2.1).

For particular values of λ, we have

Example 3. For $\lambda = 0$ and a function $f \in \Sigma$, given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(0, \phi) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*,\mu,b}(\phi)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}f(z))'}{\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}f(z)} \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(z) - 1) \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\frac{w(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}g(w))'}{\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}g(w)} \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(w) - 1) \tag{2.11}$$

where $z, w \in \triangle$ and the function g is given by(2.1).

Example 4. For $\lambda = 1$ and a function $f \in \Sigma$, given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(1,\phi) \equiv \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\phi)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z))' \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(z) - 1) \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} g(w))' \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(w) - 1) \tag{2.13}$$

where $z, w \in \triangle$ and the function g is given by(2.1).

We now introduce another new subclass of the function class Σ of complex order $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$.

Definition 2.3. A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\lambda,\phi)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z))'}{(1-\lambda)\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z) + \lambda z(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z))'} - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(z) - 1) \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} g(w))'}{(1-\lambda)\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} g(w) + \lambda z(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} g(w))'} - 1\right) \prec_{\widetilde{q}} (\phi(w) - 1) \tag{2.15}$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\};\ 0 \leq \lambda < 1;\ z, w \in \Delta$ and the function g is given by(2.1).

We note that by taking $\lambda = 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma, 0, \phi) \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\phi)$$

In the following section we find estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the above-defined subclasses $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\lambda,\phi)$ of the function class Σ.

In order to derive our main results, we shall need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.4. (see [19]) *If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|p_k| \leq 2$ for each k, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the family of all functions p analytic in $\triangle$ for which $\Re(p(z)) > 0$, where $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \cdots$ for $z \in \triangle$.*

3. Coefficient Bounds for the Function Class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\lambda,\phi)$

We begin by finding the estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\lambda,\phi)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by* (1.1) *be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\lambda,\phi)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\ |C_0|B_1\sqrt{2B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma C_0 B_1^2[(\lambda-1)(\lambda+2)\Theta_2^2 + 2(\lambda+2)\Theta_3] - 2(B_2 - B_1)(1+\lambda)^2\Theta_2^2|}} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1}{(\lambda+2)\Theta_3} + \frac{|\gamma||C_1|B_1}{(\lambda+2)\Theta_3} + \left(\frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1}{(1+\lambda)\Theta_2}\right)^2. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\lambda,\phi)$ and $g = f^{-1}$. Then there are analytic functions $u, v : \triangle \longrightarrow \triangle$ with $u(0) = 0 = v(0)$, satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z^{1-\lambda}(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z))'}{[\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b} f(z)]^{1-\lambda}} - 1\right) = \psi(z)[\phi(u(z)) - 1] \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w^{1-\lambda}(\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}g(w))'}{[\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{b}g(w)]^{1-\lambda}}-1\right)=\psi(w)[\phi(u(w))-1]. \tag{3.4}$$

Define the functions $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ by

$$p(z):=\frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)}=1+p_1z+p_2z^2+\cdots$$

and

$$q(z):=\frac{1+v(z)}{1-v(z)}=1+q_1z+q_2z^2+\cdots$$

or, equivalently,

$$u(z):=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[p_1z+\left(p_2-\frac{p_1^2}{2}\right)z^2+\cdots\right] \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$v(z):=\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[q_1z+\left(q_2-\frac{q_1^2}{2}\right)z^2+\cdots\right]. \tag{3.6}$$

Then $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ are analytic in $\triangle$ with $p(0)=1=q(0)$. Since $u,v:\triangle\to\triangle$, the functions $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ have a positive real part in $\triangle$, and $|p_i|\leq 2$ and $|q_i|\leq 2$.

Now,

$$\psi(z)[\phi(u(z))-1]=\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1p_1z+\left[\frac{1}{2}C_1\ B_1p_1+\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1\left(p_2-\frac{p_1^2}{2}\right)+\frac{C_0B_2}{4}p_1^2\right]z^2+\cdots \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\psi(w)[\phi(v(w))-1]=\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1q_1w+\left[\frac{1}{2}C_1\ B_1q_1+\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1\left(q_2-\frac{q_1^2}{2}\right)+\frac{C_0B_2}{4}q_1^2\right]w^2+\cdots \tag{3.8}$$

respectively.

In light of (1.1) - (1.8), from (3.7) and (3.8), it is evident that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{(\lambda+1)}{\gamma}\Theta_2a_2z+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[(\lambda+2)\Theta_3a_3+\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda+2)}{2}\Theta_2^2a_2^2\right]z^2+\cdots\\&\qquad=\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1p_1z+\left[\frac{1}{2}C_1\ B_1p_1+\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1\left(p_2-\frac{p_1^2}{2}\right)+\frac{C_0B_2}{4}p_1^2\right]z^2+\cdots\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{(\lambda+1)}{\gamma}\Theta_2a_2w+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[-(\lambda+2)\Theta_3a_3+\left(\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda+2)}{2}\Theta_2^2+2(\lambda+2)\Theta_3\right)a_2^2\right]w^2+\cdots\\&\qquad=\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1q_1w+\left[\frac{1}{2}C_1\ B_1q_1+\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1\left(q_2-\frac{q_1^2}{2}\right)+\frac{C_0B_2}{4}q_1^2\right]w^2+\cdots\end{aligned}$$

which yields the following relations.

$$(1+\lambda)\Theta_2a_2 = \frac{\gamma}{2}C_0B_1p_1 \tag{3.9}$$

$$\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda+2)}{2}\Theta_2^2a_2^2+(\lambda+2)\Theta_3a_3 = \gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}C_1\ B_1p_1+\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1\left(p_2-\frac{p_1^2}{2}\right)+\frac{C_0B_2}{4}p_1^2\right] \tag{3.10}$$

$$-(\lambda+1)\Theta_2a_2 = \frac{\gamma}{2}C_0B_1q_1 \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\left(2(\lambda+2)\Theta_3+\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda+2)}{2}\Theta_2^2\right)a_2^2-(\lambda+2)\Theta_3a_3=\gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}C_1\ B_1q_1+\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1\left(q_2-\frac{q_1^2}{2}\right)+\frac{C_0B_2}{4}q_1^2\right]. \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.9) and (3.11), it follows that

$$p_1=-q_1 \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$8(\lambda+1)^2\Theta_2^2a_2^2=\gamma^2C_0^2\ B_1^2(p_1^2+q_1^2). \tag{3.14}$$

Adding (3.10) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\left[(\lambda-1)(\lambda+2)\Theta_2^2+2(\lambda+2)\Theta_3\right]a_2^2=\frac{\gamma C_0B_1}{2}(p_2+q_2)+\frac{\gamma}{4}C_0(B_2-B_1)(p_1^2+q_1^2). \tag{3.15}$$

Using (3.14) in (3.15), we get

$$a_2^2=\frac{\gamma^2C_0^2\ B_1^3(p_2+q_2)}{2\gamma C_0B_1^2\left[(\lambda-1)(\lambda+2)\Theta_2^2+2(\lambda+2)\Theta_3\right]-4(B_2-B_1)(1+\lambda)^2\Theta_2^2}. \tag{3.16}$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 for the coefficients p_2 and q_2, we immediately have

$$|a_2|^2\leq\frac{2|\gamma||C_0|^2B_1^3}{|\gamma C_0B_1^2\left[(\lambda-1)(\lambda+2)\Theta_2^2+2(\lambda+2)\Theta_3\right]-2(B_2-B_1)(1+\lambda)^2\Theta_2^2|}.$$

This gives the bound on $|a_2|$ as asserted in (3.1).

Next, in order to find the bound on $|a_3|$, by subtracting (3.12) from (3.10), we get

$$\left[2(\lambda+2)\Theta_3a_3-2(\lambda+2)\Theta_3a_2^2\right]=\frac{\gamma\ C_0B_1(p_2-q_2)}{2}+\frac{\gamma\ C_1B_1(p_1-q_1)}{2}+\frac{\gamma\ C_0(B_2-B_1)(p_1^2-q_1^2)}{4}. \tag{3.17}$$

Using (3.13) and (3.14) in (3.17), we get

$$a_3=\frac{\gamma C_0B_1(p_2-q_2)}{4(\lambda+2)\Theta_3}+\frac{\gamma B_1C_1(p_1-q_1)}{4(\lambda+2)\Theta_3}+\frac{\gamma^2C_0^2B_1^2(p_1^2+q_1^2)}{8(1+\lambda)^2\Theta_2^2}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 once again for the coefficients p_1, q_1, p_2 and q_2, we readily get(3.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Putting $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by* (1.1) *be in the class $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\phi)$. Then*

$$|a_2|\leq\frac{|\gamma|\ |C_0|B_1\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma\ C_0\ B_1^2(2\Theta_3-\Theta_2^2)-(B_2-B_1)\Theta_2^2|}} \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$|a_3|\leq\frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1}{2\Theta_3}+\frac{|\gamma||C_1|B_1}{2\Theta_3}+\left(\frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1}{\Theta_2}\right)^2. \tag{3.19}$$

Putting $\lambda=1$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by* (1.1) *be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\phi)$. Then*

$$|a_2|\leq\frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|3\gamma\ C_0\ B_1^2\Theta_3-4(B_2-B_1)\Theta_2^2|}} \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1}{3\Theta_3} + \frac{|\gamma||C_1|B_1}{3\Theta_3} + \left(\frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1}{2\Theta_2}\right)^2. \tag{3.21}$$

If $\mathcal{J}_\mu^b$ is the identity map, from Corollary 3.2 and 3.3, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.4. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\gamma,\phi)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|\gamma\ C_0\ B_1^2 - (B_2 - B_1)|}} \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1}{2} + \frac{|\gamma||C_1|B_1}{2} + (|\gamma||C_0|B_1)^2. \tag{3.23}$$

Corollary 3.5. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\gamma,\phi)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|3\gamma\ C_0\ B_1^2 - 4(B_2 - B_1)|}} \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1}{3} + \frac{|\gamma||C_1|B_1}{3} + \left(\frac{|\gamma||C_0|B_1}{2}\right)^2. \tag{3.25}$$

4. Coefficient Bounds for the Function Class $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\lambda,\phi)$

Theorem 4.1. *Let the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{G}_\Sigma^{\mu,b}(\gamma,\lambda,\phi)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\ |C_0|B_1\sqrt{B_1}}{\sqrt{|[\gamma\ C_0\ (\lambda^2-1)B_1^2 + (1-\lambda)^2(B_1 - B_2)]\Theta_2^2 + 2\gamma(1-\lambda)C_0B_1^2\Theta_3|}} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma||C_1|B_1}{2(1-\lambda)\Theta_3} + \frac{|\gamma||C_0|\ B_1}{2(1-\lambda)\Theta_3} + \frac{|\gamma||C_0|^2\ B_1^2}{(1-\lambda)^2\Theta_2^2}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. It follows from (2.14) and (2.15) that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z(\mathcal{J}_\mu^b f(z))'}{(1-\lambda)\mathcal{J}_\mu^b f(z) + \lambda z(\mathcal{J}_\mu^b f(z))'} - 1\right) = \psi(z)[\phi(u(z)) - 1] \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w(\mathcal{J}_\mu^b g(w))'}{(1-\lambda)\mathcal{J}_\mu^b g(w) + \lambda z(\mathcal{J}_\mu^b g(w))'} - 1\right) = \psi(w)[\phi(v(w)) - 1], \tag{4.4}$$

where $\psi[\phi(u(z)) - 1]$ and $\psi[\phi(v(w)) - 1]$ are given in (3.7) and (3.8) respectively.

Now, equating the coefficients in (4.3) and (4.4), we get

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)}{\gamma}\Theta_2 a_2 = \frac{1}{2}C_0B_1p_1, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\frac{(\lambda^2-1)}{\gamma}\Theta_2^2a_2^2 + \frac{2(1-\lambda)}{\gamma}\Theta_3a_3 = \frac{1}{2}C_1\ B_1p_1 + \frac{1}{2}C_0B_1\left(p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{C_0B_2}{4}p_1^2, \tag{4.6}$$

$$-\frac{(1-\lambda)}{\gamma}\Theta_2 a_2 = \frac{1}{2}C_0B_1q_1 \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\frac{(\lambda^2-1)}{\gamma}\Theta_2^2 a_2^2+\frac{2(1-\lambda)}{\gamma}\Theta_3(2a_2^2-a_3)=\frac{1}{2}C_1\ B_1q_1+\frac{1}{2}C_0B_1\left(q_2-\frac{q_1^2}{2}\right)+\frac{C_0B_2}{4}q_1^2. \tag{4.8}$$

Proceeding as in Theorem 3.1 we get the desired results.

□

Note that by taking $\lambda=0$ we get the result as in Corollary 3.2

5. Concluding Remark

For the class of strongly starlike functions, the function ϕ is given by

$$\phi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}=1+2\alpha z+2\alpha^2z^2+\cdots\quad(0<\alpha\leq 1), \tag{5.1}$$

which gives

$$B_1=2\alpha\quad\text{and}\quad B_2=2\alpha^2.$$

On the other hand For $-1\leq B\leq A<1$ if we take

$$\phi(z)=\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}=1+(A-B)z-B(A-B)z^2+B^2(A-B)z^3+\cdots. \tag{5.2}$$

then we have

$$B_1=(A-B),\ B_2=-B(A-B).$$

By taking, $A=(1-2\beta)$ where $0\leq\beta<1$ and $B=-1$ in (5.2), we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(z)&=\frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z}\\&=1+2(1-\beta)z+2(1-\beta)z^2+2(1-\beta)z^3+\cdots.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Hence, we have

$$B_1=B_2=2(1-\beta).$$

Further, by taking $\beta=0$, in (5.3), we get,

$$\phi(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}=1+2z+2z^2+2z^3+\cdots\quad, \tag{5.4}$$

Hence,

$$B_1=B_2=B_3=2.$$

Various Choices of ϕ as mentioned above and suitably choosing the values of B_1 and B_2, we state some interesting results analogous to Theorem 3.1 , Theorem 4.1 and the Corollaries 3.2 to 3.5.

It is of interest to note that, if $\mu=1, b=\nu\ (\nu>-1)$ the operator $\mathcal{J}_\mu^b$ turns into Libera-Bernardi integral operator $\mathcal{L}_\nu$ and if $\mu=\sigma(\sigma>0),\ b=1$ the operator $\mathcal{J}_\mu^b$ turns into Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$. So, various other interesting corollaries and consequences of our main results (which are asserted by Theorem 3.1 and 4.1 above) can be derived similarly. If $\mathcal{J}_\mu^b$ is the identity map and $\psi(z)=1$ yields some known results stated in [9, 11, **?**, 17, 25].The details involved may be left as an exercise for the interested reader.

References

[1] R.M. Ali, S.K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramanian, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25** (2012), 344–351.

[2] D. A. Brannan, J. Clunie and W. E. Kirwan, Coefficient estimates for a class of star-like functions, *Canad. J. Math.* **22** (1970), 476–485.

[3] D.A. Brannan and J.G. Clunie (Editors), *Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis*, Academic Press, London, 1980.

[4] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **31** (2) (1986), 70–77.

[5] J. Choi and H.M. Srivastava, Certain families of sries associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function, *Appl. Math. Comput.,* **170** (2005), 399–409.

[6] E. Deniz, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions, *Journal of Classical Analysis* **2**(1) (2013), 49–60.

[7] C. Ferreira and J.L. Lopez, Asymptotic expansions of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* **298** (2004), 210–224.

[8] T.M. Flett, The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* **38** (1972), 746–765.

[9] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24** (2011), 1569–1573.

[10] M. Garg, K. Jain and H. M. Srivastava, Some relationships between the generalized Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials and Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.,* **17** (2006), 803–815.

[11] T. Hayami and S. Owa, Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions, *Pan Amer. Math. J.* **22** (4) (2012), 15–26.

[12] K. Inayat Noor, On Bazilevič functions of complex order, *Nihonkai Math. J.,* **3** (1992), 115–124.

[13] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* **176** (1993), 138–147.

[14] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 63–68.

[15] S.-D. Lin, H.M.Srivastava and P.-Y. Wang, Some espansion formulas for a class of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.,* **17** (2006), 817–827.

[16] G.Murugusundaramoorthy and T.Janani, Bi-starlike function of complex order associated with hypergeometric functions, *Miskolc Math. Notes.,* **16**,No.1, (2015), 305319 .

[17] G.Murugusundaramoorthy ,Subclasses of bi-univalent functions of complex order based on subordination conditions involving Wright hypergeometric functions *J. Math. Fund. Sci.* Vol. 47, No. 1, 2015, 60–75

[18] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $z < 1$, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **32** (1969), 100–112.

[19] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

[20] J.K. Prajapat and S. P. Goyal, Applications of Srivastava-Attiya operator to the classes of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions, *J. Math. Inequal.,* **3** (2009), 129–137.

[21] D. Răducanu and H. M. Srivastava, A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a convolution operator involving the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function, *Integral Transforms Spec. funct.,* **18** (2007), 933–943

[22] G.L. Reddy and K.S. Padmanaban, On analytic functions with reference to the Bernardi integral operator, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.,* **25** (1982), 387–396.

[23] H.M. Srivastava and A. Attiya, An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination, *Integral Transforms Spec. funct.,* **18** (2007), 207–216.

[24] H.M. Srivastava and J. Choi, Series associated with the Zeta and reated fubctions, Dordrecht, Boston, London, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.

[25] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23** (2010), 1188–1192.

[26] T. S. Taha, *Topics in Univalent Function Theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London, 1981.